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Abstract — Cancer is the world’s second leading cause of death in humans. Conventional anticancer therapies are often associated with lack of tumor specificity, failure to detect small metastases, increased resistance of tumors to anticancer drugs, and unintended adverse effects. Numerous alternative and better strategies in cancer treatment have been developed to overcome the negative effects of traditional cancer therapies. More than a century ago, William Coley, the father of cancer immunotherapy, laid the groundwork for bacterial anticancer therapy. Bacterial immunotherapy has been emerging as a potential anticancer therapy. Moreover, certain obligate and facultative anaerobic bacterial species are exploited as vectors for gene delivery to treat cancer. These genes encode for anticancer agents, cytokines, cytotoxic peptides, anti-angiogenic proteins, therapeutic molecules and prodrug-converting enzymes. Genetically engineered bacterial strains of Salmonella, Bifidobacterium, Clostridium and Listeria are widely used to deliver genes in anticancer therapy since they can selectively accumulate in solid tumors with a hypoxic/necrotic core in vivo, providing appealing delivery systems to target therapeutic agents and immunomodulatory molecules to the site of tumor. Certain genetically modified bacterial species such as Bifidobacterium longum and Bacillus licheniforms have been effectively used for the enzyme/prodrug therapy for cancer. Furthermore, certain anaerobic bacteria are emerging as potential tumor markers due to the increased mobility and the selectivity in germinating and multiplying in hypoxic/anoxic environments. Many of these novel developments have been studied extensively in different experimental models of cancer and certain clinical trials are ongoing for some treatment modalities. Although favourable results have shown so far, further studies and technological innovations are required to ensure the efficacy of bacterial anticancer therapy.

Index Terms — Bacteria, cancer, gene therapy, immunotherapeutic agents.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the major causes of human death worldwide and according to the World Health Organization (WHO), the burden of cancer is increasing at an alarming rate globally [1]. Cancer is a comprehensive term for a collection of more than 100 related diseases. Malignant tumors and neoplasms are synonyms for cancer. Uncontrollable division of abnormal cells is known as cancer and these cancerous cells often invade adjoining tissue and can even metastasize to distant sites. Almost all the sites of the human body are susceptible for the development of cancer.

There are over 200 different types of known cancers which affect human beings. Benign tumors are less harmful and not considered as malignant tumors. They are often treatable when compared to malignant cancers, which are highly dangerous, debilitating and life-threatening. A significant proportion of cancers can be cured, by conventional therapies such as surgery, radiotherapy or chemotherapy, especially if they are detected early. However, there are numerous limitations of these traditional treatments. Therefore, a number of novel therapeutic strategies have been developed as potential anti-cancer therapies to overcome these adverse effects of conventional anti-cancer therapies. Bacterial anti-cancer therapy is an emerging novel approach in cancer treatment [2, 3].

II. BACKGROUND

Microorganisms, especially live bacteria have been recognized as a very potent antitumor agent that has led to the remission of certain tumors over many generations. In their experimental studies, W. Busch and F. Fehleisen observed that accidental erysipelas (Streptococcus pyogenes) infections had reduced the size of tumors in hospitalized patients [4] In 1893, Dr. William B. Coley, a bone surgeon, started to investigate the relationship between erysipelas infection and the spontaneous regression of tumors. While reviewing the hospital records, Coley came across an interesting case, involving a patient with a sarcoma on his left cheek, who had eventually recovered completely due to the accidental exposure to streptococcal infection [5]. Dr. Coley discovered that tumor remission of cancer patients infected with erysipelas, was due to an immune response triggered by the immune system. Afterwards, he started to treat his cancer patients with live streptococcus bacteria. Dr. Coley introduced a safer vaccine in the late 1800's composed of two killed bacterial species, Streptococcus pyogenes and Serratia marcescens. This combination effectively simulated an infection with inflammation, fever, and chills without causing the real infection and it had significantly decreased the mortality rate in patients [6], [7]. This vaccine was called ‘Coley's toxins’ [8] and was extensively utilized around the world to treat lymphomas, bone and soft-tissue sarcomas, carcinomas, and myelomas [4], [9], [10]. Later, Dr. Coley was recognized as the ‘father of cancer immunotherapy’ for his significant
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contributions to the field of oncology. Coley's toxins laid the groundwork towards bacterial anticancer therapy.

III. OVERVIEW OF BACTERIAL ANTICANCER THERAPY

Scientists revealed that certain obligate and facultative anaerobic bacterial species such as *Clostridium* thrive only in hypoxic/anoxic neoplastic tissues (specifically within the core of a solid tumor), whereas they lose their viability in the well-oxygenated areas, suggesting that they are innocuous to the remaining healthy tissues of the body [11]. These findings provided the rationale to use anaerobic bacteria as effective oncolytic agents. Anaerobic bacteria and their cytotoxic effects are selectively targeted and restricted only to the cancerous tissues which are devoid of oxygen, without causing any collateral damage to the surrounding healthy tissues. Since, tumor-targeting bacteria do not destroy the entire cancerous tumor, other conventional approaches (e.g., chemotherapy and radiotherapy) should be combined with the bacterial therapy. Bacterial toxins and spores have been widely used in numerous studies as anti-cancer agents [3]. Cancer vaccines play a crucial role in oncotherapy and it is based on bacterial immunotoxins [12]. Live, attenuated or genetically engineered avirulent bacteria can be used as carriers of oncolytic agents and as immunotherapeutic agents, and to provide direct oncolytic effects. Genetically manipulated bacteria for selective oncolysis, and bacterial gene-directed enzyme prodrug therapy (GDEPT) have also shown promising results in cancer therapy. This review focuses on the use of bacteria as carriers of anticancer agents and certain enzymes and as immunotherapeutic agents in cancer therapy. Furthermore, the use of anaerobic bacteria as a probe for cancer detection is also described in this review.

IV. BACTERIA AS VECTORS FOR ANTI-CANCER GENE THERAPY

Conventional anti-cancer therapies such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy have numerous drawbacks. Thus, a number of new methods have been developed as potential anticancer therapies to overcome these issues. One such method is the delivery of anti-cancer genes to the tumor site (gene therapy) [13].

In a global context, gene therapy requires the reversal of a genetic defect by adding a normal version of a faulty or absent gene to cure an underlying condition [14]. Thus, anticancer gene therapy has been mainly involved in replacing the mutated versions of proto—oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes. In addition to that, some of the genetic methods utilized are delivery of genes encoding prodrug activating enzymes, cytotoxic agents, apoptosis-inducers, anti-angiogenic proteins or cell-targeted toxins to the tumor. Several prospective strategies of anticancer gene therapy include the expressing of a gene to induce apoptosis or increase tumor sensitivity to conventional chemotherapy/radiation therapy.

Even though these methods have been used, there are certain detrimental effects in these methods including the strict requirement of local administration of vectors, thus limiting their efficacy [15]. Another major problem in cancer gene therapy is the difficulty to deliver the respective gene in a target specific manner to the solid tumor. One way of overcoming these restrictions is the use of genetically modified obligate or facultative anaerobic bacteria, expressing a specific gene which has the therapeutic efficacy, only within the core of the solid tumor (Fig. 1). In this manner, the specific protein/product is only produced inside the tumor micro-environment, because the bacteria are specifically targeted to the tumor. Thus, the bacterial gene therapy plays an important role as an adjuvant therapy in oncology. Thus, certain bacteria serve as vectors for preferentially delivering anticancer agents, cytotoxic peptides, therapeutic proteins, apoptosis-inducers, apoptosis-executioners or prodrug converting enzymes to tumor masses [2]. Even though bacteria are effective modes for anti-cancer therapy, there are two major drawbacks in using them. When bacteria is used at the appropriate dose to obtain the therapeutic efficacy, it causes bacteria induced toxicity and on the other hand, if the dose is reduced to prevent toxicity, it results in reduced efficacy.

A. The ideal bacterial vector for anticancer gene therapy

So far, the ideal vector for tumor-targeted gene therapy has not yet developed, however, various recombinant bacterial species have been developed and used as vectors, including Bifidobacteria [16, 17], *Clostridia* [18, 19] and *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Typhimurium* [20, 21] even though there are few drawbacks associated with them. The ideal vector should possess certain characteristics such as, it should be genetically traceable, non-virulent, should only replicate within the tumor mass, motility, non-immunogenicity, and being harmless to normal tissues [15].
B. Bacteria engineered to express tumoricidal agents

Bacteria possess the capability to produce and deliver specific compounds; these can be artificially coupled to certain anticancer agents. Bacteria play a major role in the drug carrier field. There are various strategies employed and two predominant mechanisms have been extensively studied: the direct expression of anti-tumor proteins and transfer of eukaryotic expression vectors into infected cancer cells. Some of the anti-cancer agents expressed by genetically modified, facultative or obligate anaerobic bacteria are shown in Table I [22].

Several examples are mentioned in this review in support of bacteria used as vectors to express certain anticancer agents.

An attenuated, motile strain of *Salmonella typhimurium*, VNP 20009 has been genetically modified to express two apoptotic proteins, p53 and azurin in order to treat glioblastomas in mice. Xenografts of human glioblastoma brain tumors in mice were successfully treated with this recombinant strain by injecting intra-cranially at the tumor implant site. The genes encoding for these two apoptotic proteins were placed under a hypoxic promoter, pIE, which expressed these two genes only in hypoxic environments. Thus, the expression of apoptotic proteins only occurred within the tumor and induced apoptosis in cancerous cells. *As Salmonella* is an intracellular pathogen, it thrives inside the cancer cell, thus releases azurin into the cancer cell's cytosol, leading to apoptosis. According to the results of this experiment, survival rate has been significantly increased, with no side effects and no signs of tumor suppression and the responders have restored the neural environment [23].

Another example for using bacteria as an effective vector for anticancer therapy is the use of a genetically modified *Salmonella* strain, YB1 to treat neuroblastoma. *Salmonella* is a facultative anaerobic bacteria, however this engineered strain is an "obligate" anaerobe, which thrive only in anaerobic/hypoxic environments. In this strain, one of the essential genes, *asd*, has been genetically modified to be controlled by a hypoxic conditioned promoter. The *asd* gene is responsible for synthesizing DAP (diaminopimelic acid), which is an essential compound in the Gram negative bacterial cell wall. Thus, in aerobic environment, such as in normal healthy tissues, there is no *asd* expression as the promoter is inactive, thus, leading to loss of DAP in the cell wall, which ultimately results in the lysis of the bacterium. Conversely, in the hypoxic/necrotic condition, such as a core of a solid tumor, the *asd* gene is expressed and DAP is synthesized, and the bacteria thrive well. Thus, this engineered strain, YB1 only multiply under hypoxic conditions (less than 0.5% oxygen) without additional DAP. This selective replication of this mutant is used to treat neuroblastoma (NB), which is a type of solid tumor in mice.

Intra-cranial fluorescent orthotopic xenograft of neuroblastoma mouse model was used in the experiment. In the YB1-treated mice with neuroblastoma xenograft, an extensive tumor regression was reported, with no side effects. The YB1 strain selectively accumulated only in the core of the tumor, whereas, the normal tissues were unaffected. Significant cell apoptosis of NB cancerous cells was observed. Thus, the mutant strain YB1, can be successfully utilized as a tool in delivering anticancer agents to treat a variety of solid tumors [24].

### TABLE I: ANTI-CANCER AGENTS EXPRESSED BY GENETICALLY ENGINEERED BACTERIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-cancer agent</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apoptotic Proteins</td>
<td>p53, Azurin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytotoxic agents</td>
<td>Bacterial toxins (Cytolysin A), Members of TNFα (Tumor necrosis factor α) family: FAS ligand (FASL), TNF related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) and TNFs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-angiogenic agents</td>
<td>Endostatin, Tumstatin, Thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>Interleukin (IL-2), LIGHT, Interleukin 18 (IL-18), Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 21 (CCL 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor antigens</td>
<td>Human tumor endothelial marker 8 (TEM8), RAF proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase (C-Raf), Cholera toxin subunit B- prostate-specific antigen (CtxB-PSA) fusion protein, Canine parvovirus - outer membrane protein A (CPV-OMP) fusion protein, New York esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (NY-ESO-1) tumor antigen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bifidobacterium longum* (BL) has recently been used as a delivery system for the antiangiogenic protein, tumstatin. (Tum). Tum is an endogenous angiostatin, which inhibits endothelial cell proliferation and migration. It also induces apoptosis and inhibits angiogenesis, thus preventing metastasis. Overall protein synthesis post-transcriptionally is also inhibited. BL is a non-pathogenic, anaerobic bacteria which has a hypoxic metabolic characteristic, thus used as an effective gene delivering tool to solid tumors with a hypoxic core. Tum gene is expressed under the control of pBBADs promoter of BL. Colon carcinoma murine model was used in the experiment and the results have shown significant antitumor effects with the Tum-expressing BL treatment. Administration of BL to tumor-bearing mice resulted in a strong inhibition of angiogenesis and reduced tumor growth, with a low microvessels density (MVD) than the negative control [25].

Clostridia has been used to deliver genes in anticancer therapy. Mouse tumor necrosis factor α (mTNF α) is toxic to cancerous cells and it induces T cell mediated immunity. The gene encoding for mTNF α is placed under the control of RecA promoter, which gets activated by single strand breaks in DNA. Ionizing radiation causes single strand breaks in deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) [26]. Thus, combination therapy of radiation and Clostridium-based gene therapy showed significant increase in mTNF α production, which led to tumor lysis [27].

C. Carriers of bacterial enzymes (bacterially directed enzyme prodrug therapy)

Several bacteria have been used as carriers of enzymes which can convert a non-toxic, non-functional prodrug to a toxic, active drug. Clostridial-directed enzyme-prodrug therapy (CDEPT) is one of the common strategies used so
far in treating certain cancer types. Clostridium is an anaerobic bacteria which multiply solely in hypoxic/necrotic tissues. Thus, the gene encoding for the specific enzyme is also only expressed in hypoxic/necrotic tissues, such as the center of a solid tumor. Therefore, the prodrug is only activated within a tumor mass, and not in other healthy tissues of the body.

Several enzyme/prodrug systems are available including cytosine deaminase (CD) and nitrereductase (NR) [28] – [33]. CD converts 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC) to 5-fluouracil (5-FU), and nitrereductase (NR) converts the pro-drug, 5-Aziridinyl-2,4-dinitrobenzamide to a DNA cross-linking agent. Both enzymes essentially do not have a human equivalent. CD is better than NTR, as both 5-FU and 5-FC have been approved for clinical applications. The differential toxicity between 5-FC and 5-FU is significantly large because 5-FU is further metabolized into two inhibitors of DNA and ribonucleic acid (RNA) synthesis [34]. Certain bacterial species have been used in the enzyme/prodrug systems with significant efficacy and efficiency.

Genetically engineered Bifidobacterium longum (BL) has been used in the enzyme/prodrug therapy to treat autochthonous mammary tumors in rats. A plasmid, pBLES 100-S-eCD was constructed including the Escherichia coli cytosine deaminase (eCD) gene, and the plasmid was transferred into BL. As BL is an anaerobic bacterium, it only proliferated within the hypoxic/necrotic zone of the tumor in rats, whereas the normal tissues and organs were unaffected. Thus, eCD was also produced only within the tumor mass, and 5-FC was converted to 5-FU within the tumor, providing a high concentration of 5-FU inside the tumor. The experimental results showed significant antitumor efficacy in rats bearing autochthonous mammary tumors, when administered with genetically modified BL strain directly to the tumor or intravenously [35].

Thermophilic bacterium, Bacillus licheniformis has been recently exploited to synthesize NTR enzyme. Previously Escherichia coli NTR gene was used in enzyme/prodrug therapies, however it was reported that there were many drawbacks of Escherichia coli NTR enzyme. Unlike Escherichia coli NTR enzyme, NTR enzyme derived from Bacillus licheniformis is more thermostable with high activity, which only produced the desired form, 4- hydroxylamine derivative of CB1954. Thus, Bacillus licheniformis is a suitable candidate for enzyme/prodrug therapy [36].

King and his coworkers [37] demonstrated that when a genetically engineered, attenuated strain of Salmonella typhimurium expressing an eCD (eCD), was administrated intravenously (single bolus) to tumor-bearing mice (subcutaneously implanted colon cancer), followed by intraperitoneal 5-FC injection, the tumor inhibition occurred. Mutant S. typhimurium had accumulated selectively inside the tumor, expressing the eCD gene and the 5-FC was effectively converted to toxic, anti-tumor 5-FU. This combined therapy is known as TAPET-CD (Tumor Amplified Protein Expression Therapy- Cytosine Deaminase) and S. typhimurium was shown to be an efficient tumor-targeting bacterial species which could be used as a vehicle for gene delivering.

A pilot study was conducted by Nemunaitis and coworkers [29] in refractory cancer patients in order to evaluate the feasibility of intra-tumoral administration of TAPET-CD, followed by 5-FC injection. Salmonella typhimurium expressing an E.coli CD was observed in high levels in the tumor and significant levels of therapeutical 5-FU was also observed within the tumor mass. The intra-tumoral colonization of Salmonella typhimurium makes itself a suitable gene delivery vehicle in cancer therapy without any adverse effects.

Another study was performed to demonstrate the efficacy of TAPET-CD plus 5-FC therapy in tumor regression in C38-tumor transplanted mice. Neither 5-FC treatment alone nor 5-FU treatment alone could reduce the size of the tumor mass. However, the combination of TAPET-CD and 5-FC therapy showed significant tumor regression [38].

Fox and co-workers [39] cloned the CD gene of E. coli into a clostridial expression vector and transformed this into C. beijerincki. High amounts of the CD enzyme were detected in the bacterial culture medium and the sensitivity of murine EMT6 carcinoma cells to 5-FU showed a significant increase in 500-fold. Quantitative in vitro analyses of C. acetobutylicum, which was engineered to express CD, showed biologically active protein in lysates and supernatants of the transgenic bacteria [40]. Furthermore, CD activity was detected in the tumour mass after intratumoural administration of recombinant C. acetobutylicum spores to Wistar Albino Glaxo from Rijswijk (WAG/Rij) rats bearing rhadomyosarcomas [40, 41].

V. AS IMMUNOTHERAPEUTIC AGENTS

Immunotherapy is a novel treatment strategy used in cancer therapy. It is proven to be effective than conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy with less adverse effects. Since tumors are immunogenic by nature, the presence of a tumor stimulates the immune system to eliminate it. Thus, immunotherapy facilitates the stimulation of the immune system to destroy malignant cells. However, tumors possess certain mechanisms to evade the immune responses by developing tolerance as they are weakly immunogenic and in certain instances, body itself recognizes them as self-antigens. The inherent antigenicity of the tumor cells is enhanced by the use of non-pathogenic, anaerobic bacteria, thus making bacteria as a promising agent to be used as immunotherapeutic agents [42].

Avogadri and coworkers [43], in their experiment, demonstrated that attenuated, but invasive S. typhimurium could eliminate tumor cells from the host, along with the anti-Salmonella vaccination. S. typhimurium was successfully used in infecting the melanoma tumor cells both in vivo and in vitro, and the subsequent immune responses were observed. Upon intra-tumoral S. typhimurium administration, melanoma cells presented antigenic determinants of bacterial origin which were targets for the anti-Salmonella specific T cells. Prior to Salmonella infection, anti-Salmonella vaccination was also given to the tumor-bearing mice. Tumor-bearing mice which had received the vaccine and the intra-tumoral injection of S. typhimurium were free of tumor cells after a certain period and induced tumor specific immune response. Furthermore, S. typhimurium showed indirect toxicity towards tumor
cells. The property of selective colonization of attenuated *S. typhimurium*, encoding the murine cytokine IL-2 was utilized in immunotherapy in cancer treatment. Mice with the implants of melanoma cells were treated with IL-2 encoding *S. typhimurium* and subsequent tumor regression was observed. It was reported that cytokines have the potential to modulate immunity to infection. Cytokine expression in the bacterial strains is regulated by the nirB promoter, whose function is significantly enhanced under hypoxic conditions, such as a core of a solid tumor. Thus, the specific cytokine is produced at high concentrations only within the tumor mass [44].

Angiogenesis is one of the prominent features in tumor growth. In tumor endothelial cells, TEM8 (Tumor Endothelial Marker 8) is dominantly expressed. In a study conducted by Ruan and coworkers [45], a xenogenic DNA vaccine encoding human TEM8 carried by attenuated *S. typhimurium* was constructed. This vaccine was give orally to tumor bearing mice. Following vaccination, marked CD8+ cytotoxic T cell response was observed and according to the results of the study, life span of mice was increased. Furthermore, angiogenesis was suppressed and no adverse effects were reported. Thus, TEM8 encoding Salmonella vaccine demonstrated the potential in antiangiogenesis immunotherapy strategy.

*Listeria monocytogenes* has been utilized as an effective carrier for Her-2/neu, a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family which is present in an active form in human breast tumors. *Listeria monocytogenes*, being an intra-cellular bacterium, can stimulate both innate and cell-mediated immunity in hosts. Live, recombinant *Listeria monocytogenes*, expressing a single fragment of the Her-2/neu was used as a vaccine for tumor bearing mice. According to the results of the experiment, regression of the tumors, slowing and inhibition of tumor growth and complete eradication of the established tumors in pre-clinical mouse tumor models were observed [46].

Another study demonstrated the efficacy of using a *Listeria monocytogenes* (LM) based vaccine in treating metastatic breast cancer. An attenuated strain of *Listeria monocytogenes* was utilized in a vaccine to eradicate all metastases and almost the entire primary tumor in an aggressive mouse tumor model 4T1. This remarkable eradication was due to direct killing by *Listeria monocytogenes* and by cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) responses against *Listeria monocytogenes* antigens, including the truncated listeriolysin O (LLO).This LM-based vaccine has been successfully used in eliminating metastatic breast cancers [47].

Loeffler and coworkers [48] have successfully demonstrated the capability of a recombinant strain of attenuated *S. typhimurium* in inhibiting the growth of primary tumors and halting the dissemination of pulmonary metastases in a vast variety of murine models. Attenuated *S. typhimurium* has been genetically modified to express a specific cytokine, known as LIGHT, which promotes tumor rejection. When the mutant bacterial species was administered to murine tumor models with mouse carcinoma cell lines in immunocompetent mice, antitumor activity was observed and there was no evidence of toxicity. All these experimental findings indicate the potential use of avirulent bacteria as cancer immunotherapeutic agents.

VI. BACTERIA AS A TOOL FOR CANCER DIAGNOSIS

A. Anaerobic bacteria as tumor markers

Various methods have been used to detect the presence of a tumor and to locate the tumor exactly. Basically two types of non-bacterial material are used as tumor markers to locate the tumor: viral vectors and non-viral vectors [49] – [52] (Table II).

All these above mentioned tumor markers have drawbacks to a certain extent such as certain methods are incapable of detecting small metastases. Thus, anaerobic bacteria is emerging as a promising tool as a tumor marker due to the increased mobility and the selectivity in germinating and multiplying in hypoxic/anoxic environments. This strategy is relatively effective, simple, and direct and very useful in detecting both large and small metastases too, in addition to the primary tumor, which is essential for a positive prognosis. Following the administration of the marker, the location of the tumor is detected via bioluminescence, fluorescence, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) [53]. To detect the location of the tumor, following the bacterial marker administration, light, MRI or positron emission tomography have been currently used [22], [54].

| TABLE II: EXAMPLES FOR NON-BACTERIAL TUMOR MARKERS |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Viral vectors | Non-viral vectors |
| Adeno-associated virus | MicroRNA |
| Herpes simplex virus (HSV-1) | Short hairpin (sh)RNA |
| HSV ampiclon | Small interfering (si)RNA |
| Sindbis | Oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs) |
| Poliovirus replicon | Therapeutic DNA |
| Lentivirus/Moloney murine leukemia virus | Adenovirus |

VNP20009 expressing HSV-tk (Herpes Simplex Virus type 1 - thymidine kinase) reporter gene is currently used as a tumor marker to detect the location of tumors successfully. This is a non-invasive method to detect primary tumors and small metastases. This specific strain selectively colonize within the murine tumor models and showed a significant accumulation of a radio-labeled nucleoside analogue, FIAU (2'-fluoro-2'-deoxy-5-iodouracil-B-D-arabinofuranoside) inside the tumor tissue. This finding was observed by PET imaging system. According to the PET images, even the small metastases could be identified [55]. Tjuvajev and coworkers [21] have performed a preliminary study to demonstrate localization of radiolabeled 14C-FIAU in tumor-bearing mice pretreated with mutant *Salmonella* strain, VNP20009 expressing the reporter gene, HSV1-tk. Currently HSV1-tk enzyme is considered as the most exclusively used self-destructive agent for gene therapy of cancer. 14C-FIAU is one of the substrates of HSV1-tk enzyme and it is phosphorylated by HSV1-tk enzyme. When the mutant
Salmonella strain, VNP20009 expressing the HSV1-tk gene was administered to tumor-bearing mice, the bacterial organisms selectively localized and replicated inside the hypoxic/necrotic core of the tumor. The radiolabeled 14C-FIAU and were trapped in the mutant Salmonella strain, VNP20009 expressing the HSV1-tk gene inside the tumor tissue. The 14C-FIAU radioactivity and bacterial count data strongly indicate a Salmonella (TK)-dependent 14C-FIAU accumulation in the tumor tissue than the normal healthy tissue.

Certain bacterial species have been used to detect both primary tumors and previously unknown metastases effectively which is crucial for prognosis. Thus, these bacterial species could be developed further to detect tumors and can be used as tumor markers as shown in Table III [56] – [59].

TABLE III: EXAMPLES FOR BACTERIAL TUMOR MARKERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial species</th>
<th>Genetic modification which aids detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella typhimurium</td>
<td>Transformed with plasmid pLITE201 (a plasmid which has the lac promoter fused to the genes of Photobacterum luminescens) containing luxCDABE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listeria monocytogenes</td>
<td>Transformed with plasmid pSOD-GFP, carrying the GFP (Green Fluorescent Protein) gene construct under the control of the SOD promoter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrio cholerae</td>
<td>Transformed with a luxCDABE bacterial luciferase expression cassette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella typhimurium</td>
<td>Transformed with a luxCDABE bacterial luciferase expression cassette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>Transformed with a luxCDABE bacterial luciferase expression cassette</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. CONCLUSION

Currently, cancer is the second cause of death of humans globally despite the various cancer treatments available. Novel therapies have arisen in the field of oncology; immunotherapy has emerged as a potential option in the battle against cancer, stimulating the immune system by inducing adaptive and innate immune responses. Certain genetically modified anaerobic, non-pathogenic bacterial species have been used for cancer immunotherapy as these bacterial therapies inherit many unique mechanisms for treating cancer which are impossible to achieve with conventional therapies. Numerous studies such as in vitro and in vivo studies in tumor-bearing laboratory animals, phase 1 clinical trials, have been performed to investigate the potential of genetically engineered bacterial species for cancer immunotherapy. Furthermore, certain bacteria have been used as carriers of anticancer agents including cytotoxic agents and anti-angiogenic agents. However, certain limitations associated with bacterial therapy have been identified and further investigations have been carried out to overcome these limitations of bacterial usage and to further improve the therapeutic potential of bacterial strains.

Since, cancer is a complex, chronic multifactorial disease, a single treatment modality is ineffective, thus, a combination of different therapies is essential. Bacterial therapy is combined with conventional therapies such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, in order to treat cancer patients successfully with positive outcomes and to improve their quality of life. Usage of attenuated bacterial strains as cancer immunotherapeutic tools is a promising way to save many lives of cancer patients. Furthermore, bacterial species are engineered to produce numerous anticancer agents, specifically in the hypoxic tumor micro-environment. However, further investigations are required to enhance the efficacy and safety of these novel treatment strategies as there are still questions about the safety of these approaches.
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